UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

	United States of America) v.) Case No. 4:11CR3069 JOSHUA D. BARGEN,) Defendant)
	DETENTION ORDER PENDING SENTENCING
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts that the defendant be detained pending trial.
	Part I—Findings of Fact
□ (1) T	he defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
o	f □ a federal offense □ a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal
	jurisdiction had existed - that is
	□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.
	□ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.
	□ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in
	*
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:
	□ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:
	□ a minor victim
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
	□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the \Box date of conviction \Box the defendant's release
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety

Alternative Findings (A)

of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense			
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in			
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			

The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

	Altern	native Findings (B)
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant w	ill not appear.
X (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant w	ill endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II— Statemen I find that the testimony and information subm	nt of the Reasons for Detention nitted at the detention hearing establishes by X clear and
convinc	ing evidence \Box a preponderance of the evidence	dence that
allegation possibly	ons of the Petition for Violation of Pretrial Rele	fendant's guilty plea has been accepted. The court finds the ease are true. The defendant continues to engage in harmful and unply with the directions of his supervising officer or consistently eling.
	Part III—Direc	ctions Regarding Detention
pending order of	rections facility separate, to the extent practical gappeal. The defendant must be afforded a rea	the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement able, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody asonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On y for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility al for a court appearance.
Date:	January 5, 2012	s/Cheryl R. Zwart
		United States Magistrate Judge